arXiv:1408.5763v2 [math.DS] 25 Sep 2015

SOME STOCHASTIC PROPERTIES OF TOPOLOGICAL ACTION SEMIGROUPS

F. H. GHANE AND A. SARIZADEH

Abstract. For an iterated function system, we investigate combinatorial issues relating to the use of random orbit and also we study stochastic properties of random orbits in a topological semigroup action. In this area, the following question arises:

Under which conditions, the probability of branches satisfying a property $\mathcal{Q}$ belongs to $\{0,1\}$, when $\mathcal{Q}$ is a property on fiber-wise orbits?

In this work, we will address that how the chaos game orbits satisfy the property $\mathcal{Q}$ almost surely.

As a consequence, without any regularity, we will provide some conditions for the action of semigroups on the sphere which ensure that they are proximal in a strong way. This means that the limit infimum of distance between random images of each two points tends to zero almost surely.

1. Introduction

An iterated function system can also be thought of as a finite collection of maps which can be applied successively in any order and it is a popular way to generate and explore a variety of fractals. To be more precise, we assume that (X,d) is a Hausdorff topological space and $\mathcal{F}=\{f_1,\dots,f_k\}$ is a finite family of continuous maps defined on X. We denote by $<\mathcal{F}>^+$ the semigroup generated by these maps. Then the action of the semigroup $<\mathcal{F}>^+$ on X is called the *iterated function system* associated to $\mathcal{F}$ and we denote it by $\mathrm{IFS}(X;\mathcal{F})$ or $\mathrm{IFS}(\mathcal{F})$. Throughout this paper we assume that X is a compact metric space.

Let us consider the symbol spaces $\Sigma_k^+=\{1,\dots,k\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\Sigma_k=\{1,\dots,k\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. suppose that the maps f_i are randomly picked with probability $p_i>0$ and $\mathbb{P}^+$ and $\mathbb{P}$ are the product measures on Σ_k^+ and Σ_k, respectively, for these given probabilities on $\{1,\dots,k\}$. Then this yields to a *random iterated function system* which is denoted by

$$\mathrm{RIFS}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{P})=(X;f_1,\dots,f_k;p_1,\dots,p_k),$$

where $\mathcal{P}=\{p_1,\dots,p_k\}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i=1$.
For any sequence $\omega=(\omega_1\omega_2\dots\omega_n\dots)\in\Sigma_k^+$, we take

$$f_\omega^n(x):=f_{\omega_n}\circ f_\omega^{n-1}(x),\text{for all } n\in\mathbb{N},\text{ and } f_\omega^0:=Id.$$

Write $f^n_\omega(x) = f^n_{\omega_1\dots\omega_n}(x)$. A *fibrewise orbit* or *chaos game orbit* corresponding to a one-way word $\omega = (\omega_1\omega_2\dots\omega_n\dots) \in \Sigma^+_k$ at point x is defined by $\mathcal{O}^+(x,\omega) = \{f^n_\omega(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$. The chaos game maybe used in data analysis [4] and computer graphics [5].

Furthermore, we say that $\mathrm{IFS}(X;\mathcal{F})$ is *minimal* if any orbit has a branch which is dense in X, where the *orbit* at a point x is defined by

$$\mathcal{O}^+(x) = \{h(x) :\ h \in \mathrm{IFS}(X;\mathcal{F})\} = \bigcup_{\omega\in\Sigma^+_k} \mathcal{O}^+(x,\omega).$$

Recently in [1] and [3], the authors studied the limit sets of IFSs and provided some conditions which ensure the minimality.

In fact in [1], the authors obtained almost sure density of random orbits in very general situations whenever the IFS is minimal.

In the present paper, we essentially focus on a generalization of the same subject in whereas the density of fiber-wise orbits is a special case (for more details see Section 2).

To organize main results, we need to introduce some notations and several definitions. Also we recall elementary observations concerning to topological random iterated function systems.

Hereafter, let $\mathcal{E},\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ be two relations on X. Denote by $a\mathcal{E}b$ (resp. $a\tilde{\mathcal{E}}b$) for two elements a and b of X with $(a,b)\in\mathcal{E}$ (resp. $(a,b)\in\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$). With respect to the relation $\mathcal{E}$, we define a general form of a chain. Given $\omega\in\Sigma^+_k$, a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$ with $x_0 = a,\ x_n = b$ is called a chain in direction of ω with respect to the relation $\mathcal{E}$ from a to b if

$$f_{\sigma^i(\omega)}(x_i)\mathcal{E}x_{i+1};\quad \forall\ i = 0,1,\dots,n-1,$$

where $\sigma : \Sigma^+_k \to \Sigma^+_k$ is the Bernoulli shift map. In this case, we use the notation $a\mathcal{E}^n_\omega b$ (or $a\mathcal{E}^n_{\omega_1\dots\omega_n}b$).

Definition 1.1. *Let A and $\mathcal{Q}$ be two subsets of X and let $\mathcal{E},\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ be two relations on X. The set A has chain connection to $\mathcal{Q}$ with respect to the relations $(\mathcal{E},\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$ if there exist points $a\in A,\ q\in\mathcal{Q}$, $\omega\in\Sigma^+_k$ and a sequence of points $a = x_0, x_1,\dots,x_{n+1} = q$ so that $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$ is a chain in direction of ω with respect to the relation $\mathcal{E}$ from $x_0 = a$ to x_n and $\{x_{n+j}\}_{j=0}^1$ is a chain in direction of $\sigma^n(\omega)$ with respect to the relation $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ from x_n to $x_{n+1} = b$.*

We denote this composition of chains by $a\mathcal{E}^n_\omega\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\sigma^n(\omega)}q$ (or $a\mathcal{E}^n_{\omega_1\dots\omega_n}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\omega_{n+1}}q$).

Definition 1.2. *Let X be a locally connected compact metric space and A and $\mathcal{Q}$ be two subsets of X. Also let $a\mathcal{E}^n_\omega\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\sigma^n(\omega)}q$ for some points $a\in A,\ q\in\mathcal{Q}$. If there exists an open set U of the point a so that for all points $u\in U$, $u\mathcal{E}^n_\omega\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\sigma^n(\omega)}q$ then we say that a has a stable chain connection to $\mathcal{Q}$ with respect to the relations $(\mathcal{E},\tilde{\mathcal{E}})$.*

Definition 1.3. *A point $x\in X$ has a syndetic chain connection to $\mathcal{Q}$, for* $\mathrm{IFS}(X;f_1,\dots,f_k)$, *if the set*

$$\{i\in\mathbb{N} :\ x\mathcal{E}^i_\omega\tilde{\mathcal{E}}q,\ \text{for some } q\in\mathcal{Q} \text{ and } \omega\in\Sigma^+_k\}$$

is syndetic in $\mathbb{N}$.

Now we state the main results of this paper.

Theorem A. *Let* $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\} \subset \mathrm{Hom}(X)$ *be a finite family of homeomorphisms on a locally connected compact metric space* X *and* $\mathcal{Q}$ *be a subset of* X. *If every point* x *has a stable chain connection to* $\mathcal{Q}$ *with respect to relations* $(\mathcal{E}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}})$ *in some direction then for every* $x \in X$ *there exists* $\Omega(x) \subset \Sigma_k^+$ *with* $\mathbb{P}^+(\Omega(x)) = 1$ *such that* x *has a chain connection to* $\mathcal{Q}$ *in direction of* ω, *for every* $\omega \in \Omega(x)$.

An extension of the above result can be obtained whenever the shift map acts on two sided time $\mathbb{Z}$. To be more precise, for any sequence $\omega = (\dots \omega_{-1}\omega_0\omega_1\omega_2 \dots) \in \Sigma_k$ and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we take

$$f_\omega^n(x) := f_{\omega_{n-1}} \circ \cdots \circ f_{\omega_0}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad f_\omega^{-n}(x) := (f^{-1})^n_{\omega_{-1}\omega_{-2}\dots}(x) = f^{-1}_{\omega_{-n}} \circ \cdots \circ f^{-1}_{\omega_{-1}}(x),$$

where $f_\omega^0 := Id$. In this case, a *fibre-wise orbit* corresponding to a two-way infinite word $\omega \in \Sigma_k$ at point x is defined by $\mathcal{O}(x, \omega) = \{f_\omega^n(x)\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$. Denote shortly notation $a\mathcal{E}^{-n}_{\beta_{-n},\dots,\beta_{-1}}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{-1}b$ for the sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^n$ with $x_0 = a,\ x_n = b$ with the following properties:

$$f^{-1}_{\beta_{-i}}(x_{i-1})\mathcal{E}x_i, \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}; \text{ and } f^{-1}_{\beta_{-n-1}}(x_n)\tilde{\mathcal{E}}q. \tag{1}$$

Theorem B. *Let* $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\} \subset \mathrm{Hom}(X)$ *be a finite family of homeomorphisms on* X. *Suppose that there are two finite words* $\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_s$ *and* $\beta_{-t} \dots \beta_{-1}$ *so that for every point* $x \in X$ *the following property holds:*

$$x\mathcal{E}^i_{\alpha_1\dots\alpha_s}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}q \quad \text{or} \quad x\mathcal{E}^{-j}_{\beta_{-t}\dots\beta_{-1}}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{-1}q'; \text{ for some } 1 \le i \le s,\ 1 \le j \le t \text{ and for some } q, q' \in \mathcal{Q}.$$

Then every $x \in X$ *has the property* $\mathcal{Q}$ *in direction of* $(\omega_0\omega_1\omega_2 \dots)$ *or* $(\omega_{-1}\omega_{-2} \dots)$, *for every* $\omega = (\dots \omega_{-1}\omega_0\omega_1 \dots) \in \Sigma_k$ *which has a dense orbit under the shift map* σ.

Definition 1.4. *We say that* x *and* y *are proximal if there exists* $\omega \in \Sigma_k^+$ *such that*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} d(f_\omega^n(x), f_\omega^n(y)) = 0.$$

Then $(x, y) \in X \times X$ *is called a proximal pair. The iterated function system* $\mathrm{IFS}(X; f_1, \dots, f_k)$ *is called proximal if each pair* $(x, y) \in X \times X$ *is proximal. Furthermore, the* $\mathrm{IFS}(X; f_1, \dots, f_k)$ *is proximal in a strong way if for every* $x, y \in X$, *there exists* $\Omega_0 = \Omega_0(x, y) \subset \Sigma_k^+$ *with* $\mathbb{P}^+(\Omega_0) = 1$ *such that*

$$\liminf_{i\to\infty} d(f_\omega^i(x), f_\omega^i(y)) = 0, \quad \textit{for all } \omega \in \Omega_0. \tag{2}$$

The following theorem is a consequence of Theorem A.

Theorem C. *Let* $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathrm{Hom}(S^n)$ *be a finite family of homeomorphisms of the* n*-sphere* S^n *and let* $\mathrm{IFS}(S^n; \mathcal{F})$ *be the iterated function system generated by* $\mathcal{F}$. *Suppose that the following assumptions hold:*

(1) $\mathrm{IFS}(S^n;\mathcal{F})$ *is backward minimal, and*
(2) $\mathrm{IFS}(S^n;\mathcal{F})$ *contains a homeomorphism* ϕ *with exactly two fixed points, one attracting* p *and one repelling* q.

Then $\mathrm{IFS}(S^n;\mathcal{F})$ *is proximal in a strong way.*

This work is organized as follows: In Section 2, first of all, we will give some applications of Theorem A and then we will prove Theorem C in the last of this section. The proofs of Theorem A and Theorem B will take Section 3.

2. Some applications of the main result and proof of Theorem C

• ***Density of almost all fiber-wise orbits***. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}=\{f_1,\dots,f_k\}\subset \mathrm{Hom}(X)$ is a finite family of homeomorphisms on a compact connected metric space X and $\mathrm{IFS}(X;\mathcal{F})$ is the iterated function system generated by $\mathcal{F}$ so that it acts minimally on X. We say that $\mathrm{IFS}(X;\mathcal{F})$ satisfies the *probabilistic chaos game property* [2] if for each $x\in X$ there is $\Omega(X)\subset\Sigma_k^+$ with $\mathbb{P}^+(\Omega(X))=1$ so that for each $\omega=(\omega_1\omega_2\dots\omega_n\dots)\in\Omega(X)$ the chaos game orbit $\mathcal{O}^+(x,\omega)$ corresponding to the branch ω is dense in X.

Now, we focus our study to the probabilistic chaos game property of minimal IFSs which obtains by Theorem A.

Indeed, suppose $\{B_i\}$ is a countable basis of X. For every $i\in\mathbb{N}$, one can define two relations $\mathcal{E}(i)=\mathcal{E},\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(i)$ as follows:

(1) $x\mathcal{E}y \iff y=f_i(x)$ for some $1\le i\le k$;
(2) $x\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(i)y \iff x,y\in B_i$.

Take $\mathcal{Q}_i:=B_i$, for $i\in\mathbb{N}$. Since $\mathrm{IFS}(X;\mathcal{F})$ is minimal, every point x has a chain connection to $\mathcal{Q}_i$, for $i\in\mathbb{N}$, with respect to the relation $\mathcal{E}$ in direction of some ω. Moreover, the continuity of generators and the openness of $\mathcal{Q}_i$, for every $i\in\mathbb{N}$, imply that this chain connection is stable at every point x.

Thus, Theorem A implies that for every $x\in X$ there exists $\Omega_i(x)\subset\Sigma_k^+$ with $\mathbb{P}^+(\Omega_i(x))=1$ such that $\mathcal{O}^+(x,\omega)\bigcap B_i\neq\emptyset$, for all $\omega\in\Omega_i(x)$ and for every $i\in\mathbb{N}$. Take $\Omega(x):=\bigcap_i\Omega_i(x)$.

Corollary 2.1. *Suppose that* $\mathcal{F}=\{f_1,\dots,f_k\}\subset\mathrm{Hom}(X)$ *is a finite family of homeomorphisms on a compact connected metric space* X *for which the associated iterated function system* $\mathrm{IFS}(X;\mathcal{F})$ *is minimal. Then* $\mathrm{IFS}(X;\mathcal{F})$ *satisfies the probabilistic chaos game property; that is for every* x*, there exists a subset* $\Omega(x)$ *of* Σ_k^+ *with* $\mathbb{P}^+(\Omega(x))=1$ *so that* $\overline{\mathcal{O}^+(x,\omega)}=X$*, for all* $\omega\in\Omega(x)$.

• ***Density of almost all fiber-wise Chain iterates***. Here, we provide another application of the main result of this paper for chain transitive iterated function systems which is useful in shadowing lemmas.

Let $\mathrm{IFS}(X;\mathcal{F})$ be an iterated function system generated by a finite set $\mathcal{F}=\{f_1,\dots,f_k\}$ of homeomorphisms defined on a compact connected metric space X.

Fix $\delta > 0$. A point x is *δ-chain iterate to y with respect to ω* (and we write $x \dashv_\delta^\omega y$ or $x \dashv_\delta y$) if there is a sequence of points $x = x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n = y$ with $n \geq 1$ such that $d(x_{i+1}, f_\omega^i(x_i)) < \delta$, for each $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$. Also, x and y are δ-chain equivalent ($x \vdash\dashv_\delta y$) if and only if $x \dashv_\delta y$ and $y \dashv_\delta x$. Moreover, x is a *chain iterate to y* (and we write $x \dashv y$) if for every $\delta > 0$, $x \dashv_\delta y$. We say that x and y are chain equivalent ($x \vdash\dashv y$) if and only if $x \dashv y$ and $y \dashv x$. A point $x \in X$ is *chain-recurrent* for the IFS$(X; \mathcal{F})$ if x is chain equivalent to itself. The set of all chain recurrent points for $\mathcal{F}$ is denoted by $R = R\mathcal{F}$.

We say that an invariant compact set K is *chain transitive* if for each two points $p, q \in K$ and any $\delta > 0$, there is a δ-chain iterate contained in K that joints p to q.

Now, suppose that X is chain transitive for IFS$(X; \mathcal{F})$. Thus, the relation $\dashv_\delta$ is an equivalence relation, for every $\delta > 0$. For all $\delta > 0$, define

(1) $x\mathcal{E}(\delta)y \iff d(f_i(x), y) < \delta$, for some $1 \leq i \leq k$;
(2) $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\delta) = \mathcal{E}(\delta)$.

Take an arbitrary point z in X. Since IFS$(X; \mathcal{F})$ is chain transitive, so for each $x \in X$, $\{x\}$ has a chain connection to $\{z\}$, with respect to the relation $\mathcal{E}(\delta)$ in direction of some branch ω. Thus, there is a chain $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^t$ in direction of ω with respect to the relation $\mathcal{E}(\delta)$ which we will denote by $x\mathcal{E}(\delta)_\omega^t z$, where $x_0 = x$ and $x_t = z$, and it is equivalent to $x\mathcal{E}(\delta)_\omega^{t-1}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\delta)z$. Moreover, the continuity of generators implies the existence of a neighborhood $B(x, r)$ so that $f_{\omega_1}(B(x, r)) \subset B(x_1, \delta)$. Hence, $(x_0 = u, x_1, \ldots, x_t)$ is a chain with respect to the relation $\mathcal{E}(\delta)$ in direction of ω, for every $u \in B(x, r)$. This implies that x has a stable chain connection to $\{z\}$.

Now we apply Theorem A to conclude that for each $x \in X$ there exists $\Omega(x, z, \delta) \subset \Sigma_k^+$ with $\mathbb{P}^+(\Omega(x, z, \delta)) = 1$ such that $x\mathcal{E}(\delta)_\omega^{t-1}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(\delta)z$ (or $x \dashv_\delta^\omega z$), for every $\omega \in \Omega(x, z, \delta)$ and for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$.
Clearly, $x\mathcal{E}(2\delta)_\omega^{t-1}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(2\delta)q$ (or $x \dashv_{2\delta} q$), for every $q \in \mathcal{Q}_z = B(z, \delta)$, $\omega \in \Omega(x, z, \delta)$ and for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$.

On the other hand, by compactness of X, there exist $z_1, \ldots, z_\ell \in X$ so that $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^\ell \mathcal{Q}_{z_i}$. Take $\Omega(x, \delta) := \bigcap_i \Omega(x, z_i, \delta)$. Clearly, $\mathbb{P}^+(\Omega(x, \delta)) = 1$. So, for every $y \in X$ and $\omega \in \Omega(x, \delta)$ there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $x\mathcal{E}(2\delta)_\omega^t\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(2\delta)y$.

Finally we take $\Omega(x) := \bigcap_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \Omega(x, 1/i)$. Hence, $\mathbb{P}^+(\Omega(x)) = 1$. Therefore, for every $y \in X$ $\omega \in \Omega(x)$ and $\delta > 0$, there exist $i, t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2/i < \delta$ and $x\mathcal{E}(2/i)_\omega^t\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(2/i)y$. So we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *Suppose that $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \ldots, f_k\} \subset \mathrm{Hom}(X)$ is a finite family of homeomorphisms on a compact connected metric space X so that X is chain transitive for* IFS$(X; \mathcal{F})$. *Then for every x, there exists a subset $\Omega(x)$ of Σ_k^+ with $\mathbb{P}^+(\Omega(x)) = 1$ such that $x \dashv_{2/i}^\omega y$ for every $y \in X$, $\omega \in \Omega(x)$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Now, by using of Theorem A, we will prove Theorem C.

Proof of Theorem C. In order to apply Theorem A, we consider the open balls $\mathcal{Q}_i = B(p, \frac{1}{i})$, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we define relations $\mathcal{E}(i) = \mathcal{E}, \tilde{\mathcal{E}}(i)$ as follows:

(1) $(x,y)\mathcal{E}(x',y') \iff y = f_i(x)$ and $y' = f_i(x')$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$;
(2) $(x,y)\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(i)(x',y') \iff (x,y)\mathcal{E}(x',y')$ and $x', y' \in B_i$.

Since $\mathrm{IFS}(X;\mathcal{F})$ is backward minimal, there is $h \in \langle f_1^{-1}, \ldots, f_k^{-1}\rangle^+$ so that the orbit piece $q_i = h^i(q)$, for $i = 0, 1, 2$, consisting of three different points. Take an open ball W_0 containing q so that $W_i = h^i(W_0)$, for $i = 0, 1, 2$, are mutually disjoint. Thus, for every two arbitrary point $x, y \in X$, one of the following possibilities holds:

(1) $\{x, y\} \bigcap (\bigcup_{i=0}^{2} W_i) = \emptyset$;
(2) $\{x, y\} \bigcap (\bigcup_{i=0}^{2} W_i) \neq \emptyset$.

In both of the cases, there exists $j = j(x,y) \in \{0,1,2\}$ so that $\{x, y\} \subset S^n \setminus W_j$. This implies that $\{h^{-j}(x), h^{-j}(y)\} \bigcap W_0 = \emptyset$. On the other hand, for large enough ℓ, $\phi^\ell(S^n \setminus W_0) \subset \mathcal{Q}_i$ and so, $\phi^\ell \circ h^{-j}(x), \phi^\ell \circ h^{-j}(y) \in \mathcal{Q}_i$. Moreover, the continuity of generators ensures that (x,y) has a stable chain connection to $\mathcal{Q}_i$.

Thus, Theorem A implies that for every $(x,y) \in X$ there exists $\Omega(x,y,i) \subset \Sigma_k^+$ with $\mathbb{P}^+(\Omega(x,y,i)) = 1$ such that $(x,y)\mathcal{E}_\omega^t\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(i)(f_\omega^{t+1}(x), f_\omega^{t+1}(y))$, for every $\omega \in \Omega(x,y,i)$ and for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$.

Finally we take $\Omega(x,y) := \bigcap_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \Omega(x,y,i)$. Hence, $\mathbb{P}^+(\Omega(x,y)) = 1$. Therefore, for each $(x,y) \in X \times X$, $\omega \in \Omega(x,y)$ and $\delta > 0$, there exist $i, t \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $1/i < \delta$ and

$$(x,y)\mathcal{E}(1/i)_\omega^t\tilde{\mathcal{E}}(1/i)(f_\omega^{t+1}(x), f_\omega^{t+1}(y)).$$

So, for every $(x,y) \in X \times X$, there is a subset $\Omega(x,y)$ of Σ_k^+ for which the following holds: for every $\delta > 0$, there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

$$d(f_\omega^t(x), f_\omega^t(y)) < \delta; \quad \forall\, \omega \in \Omega(x,y).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

3. Proof of Theorem A

To establish Theorem A, we need to prove two following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \ldots, f_k\} \subset \mathrm{Hom}(X)$ be a finite family of homeomorphisms on X and $\mathcal{Q}$ be a subset of X. If for $x \in X$ there is $0 < \ell = \ell(x)$ so that*

$$p := \inf_{y\in\mathcal{O}^+(x)} \nu^\ell(\{\omega_1 \ldots \omega_\ell \in \Sigma_k^\ell :\ y\mathcal{E}^i_{\omega_1\ldots\omega_\ell}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}q \text{ for some } 1 \leq i \leq \ell \text{ and } q \in \mathcal{Q}\}) \neq 0,$$

then for every $x \in X$, there exists $\Omega(x) \subset \Sigma_k^+$ with $\mathbb{P}^+(\Omega(x)) = 1$ such that $\{x\}$ has a chain connection to $\mathcal{Q}$ in direction of ω, for every $\omega \in \Omega(x)$.

Proof. Take

$$\Omega(x) := \{\omega \in \Sigma_k^+ :\ \{x\} \text{ has chain connction to } \mathcal{Q} \text{ in direction of } \omega\}.$$

We show that $\mathbb{P}^+(\Omega(x)) = 1$. To prove first we define

$$\Omega(x,n) := \{\omega \in \Sigma_k^+ : \ x\mathcal{E}_{\omega_1}^j \tilde{\mathcal{E}} q \ \text{ for some } j \le n+\ell \text{ and } q \in \mathcal{Q}\}.$$

Clearly $\Omega(x) \supset \bigcup_{n\in N} \Omega(x,n)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\nu^+(\Sigma_k^+ \setminus \Omega(x,n)) &\le (1-p)\nu^+(\Sigma_k^+ \setminus \Omega(x,n-\ell)) \\ &\le (1-p)^{1+[\frac{n}{\ell}]} \to 0\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$. It follows that $\nu^+(\Omega(x)) = 1$. □

Consider a sequence of points $a = x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_j$ which is a chain connection from $x_0 = a$ to x_j with respect to the relation $\mathcal{E}$ in direction of ρ. When $(f_{\sigma^j(\rho)}(x_j), q) \notin \mathcal{E}$, we write $a\mathcal{E}_\rho^j \ \tilde{\mathcal{E}} q$.

Lemma 3.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem A, every point x has a syndetic chain connection to $\mathcal{Q}$.*

Proof. To get a contradiction, suppose that there exists a point x so that it does not admit any syndetic chain connection to $\mathcal{Q}$. Then, the set

$$A = \{i : \ x\mathcal{E}_\omega^i \tilde{\mathcal{E}} q \text{ for some } q \in \mathcal{Q}, \ \text{ and some } \omega \in \Sigma_k^+\},$$

is not syndetic in $\mathbb{N}$. This implies the existence of positive integers $a_i, \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{a_i} \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ and $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k_i \to \infty$ such that

$$x\mathcal{E}_{\omega_1\ldots\omega_{a_i}\rho_1\ldots\rho_j}^{a_i+j} \ \tilde{\mathcal{E}} q, \text{ for every } q \in \mathcal{Q}, \ (\rho_1 \ldots \rho_j) \in \Sigma_k^j \text{ and } j = 1, \ldots, k_i,$$

where Σ_k^j consists of all finite words of the length j and of the alphabets $\{1, \ldots, k\}$. By passing to a subsequence, let $f_{\omega_1\ldots\omega_{a_i}}^{a_i}(x) \to y$. Then, $y \in \overline{\mathcal{O}^+(x)}$. Fix $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Obviously, for sufficiently large i,

$$f_{\omega_1\ldots\omega_{a_i}\rho_1\ldots\rho_j}^{a_i+j}(x) \to f_{\rho_1\ldots\rho_j}^j(y).$$

Thus $y\mathcal{E}_{\rho_1\ldots\rho_j}^j \ \tilde{\mathcal{E}} q$, for every $q \in \mathcal{Q}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\rho_1 \ldots \rho_j) \in \Sigma_k^j$. This implies that y does not admit any chain connection to $\mathcal{Q}$ which is a contradiction. □

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem A.

Proof of Theorem A. Under the assumptions of Theorem A, Lemma 3.2 ensures that every point x in X has a syndetic chain connection to $\mathcal{Q}$. Therefore, for $x \in X$, there exists $\ell = \ell(x)$ so that

$$\begin{aligned}&\inf_{y\in\mathcal{O}^+(x)} \nu^\ell(\{\omega_1 \ldots \omega_\ell \in \Sigma_k^\ell : \ y\mathcal{E}_{\omega_1\ldots\omega_\ell}^i \tilde{\mathcal{E}} q \text{ for some } q \in \mathcal{Q} \text{ and some } 1 \le i \le \ell\}) \\ &\ge (\inf_{1\le i\le k} \nu(i))^\ell.\end{aligned}$$

Now, we apply Lemma 3.1 to complete the proof. □

The following corollary is a stronger result than Lemma 3.1.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_k\} \subset \mathrm{Hom}(X)$ be a finite family of homeomorphisms on X and $\mathcal{Q}$ be a subset of X. Assume that there is a word $\rho \in \Sigma_k^+$ and $\ell > 0$ so that for every point $x \in X$ the following property holds:*

$$x\mathcal{E}_\rho^i\tilde{\mathcal{E}}q; \text{ for some } 1 \le i \le \ell \text{ and } q \in \mathcal{Q}.$$

Then there exists $\Omega \subset \Sigma_k^+$ with $\mathbb{P}^+(\Omega) = 1$ such that for every $x \in X$, x has the property $\mathcal{Q}$ in direction of ω, for every $\omega \in \Omega$.

Proof. Take

$$\Omega := \{\omega \in \Sigma_k^+ : \text{ for every } x \in X, \ x \text{ has a chain connection to } \mathcal{Q} \text{ in direction of } \omega\}.$$

We show that $\mathbb{P}^+(\Omega) = 1$. First let us take

$$\Omega(n) := \{\omega : \text{ for every } x \in X, \ x\mathcal{E}_\omega^j\tilde{\mathcal{E}}q \text{ for some } j \le n + \ell \text{ and } q \in \mathcal{Q}\}.$$

Clearly $\Omega \supset \bigcup_{n\in N} \Omega(n)$. Consider a cylinder in Σ_k^+ around the finite word $\rho_1 \dots \rho_\ell$ denoted by C_ρ with $\mathbb{P}^+(C_\rho) = p$. Since for every $x \in X$, $x\mathcal{E}_\omega^j\tilde{\mathcal{E}}q$, for every $\omega \in C_\rho$ and for some $1 \le j \le \ell$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}^+(\Sigma_k^+ \setminus \Omega(x, n)) &\le (1-p)\mathbb{P}^+(\Sigma_k^+ \setminus \Omega(x, n-\ell)) \\ &\le (1-p)^{1+[\frac{n}{\ell}]} \to 0\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$. It follows that $\mathbb{P}^+(\Omega) = 1$. □

Proof of Theorem B. Write $\omega_{-t} \dots \omega_s = \beta_{-t} \dots \beta_{-1}\alpha_0 \dots \alpha_s$ and take the cylinder set

$$C_{\omega_{-t}\dots\omega_s} := \{\theta \in \Sigma_k : \theta_i = \omega_i, -t \le i \le s\}.$$

Fix $x \in X$. Now the density of the orbit $\mathcal{O}(\sigma, \rho)$ under the shift map σ ensures that there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $\sigma^n(\rho) \in C_{\omega_{-t}\dots\omega_s}$. Thus, there is $-t \le i < 0$ or $0 \le j \le s$ so that

$$x\mathcal{E}^{-i}_{\sigma^n(\omega)_{-t}\dots\sigma^n(\omega)_{-1}}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}^{-1}q \quad \text{or} \quad x\mathcal{E}^{j}_{\sigma^n(\omega)_0\dots\sigma^n(\omega)_s}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}q', \quad \text{for some } q, q' \in \mathcal{Q},$$

which completes the proof. □

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Pablo G. Barrientos, Ale Jan Homburg and Fahimeh Khosh-ahang for useful discussions and suggestions.

References

[1] M. F. Barnsley and A. Vince. The chaos game on a general iterated function system, Ergodic Theory and Dynam. Systems, 31, 1073–1079, 2011.
[2] P. G. Barrientos, F. H. Ghane, D. Malicet, AND A. Sarizadeh. On the chaos game of iterated function systems, arXiv:1506.07101, 2015.
[3] P. G. Barrientos and A. Raibekas. Dynamics of iterated function systems on the circle close to rotations, accepted to Ergodic Theory and Dynam. Systems.
[4] H. Joel Jeffrey. Chaos game representation of gene structure. Nucleic Acids Research. 18, 2163–2170, 1990.
[5] S. Nikiel. Iterated function systems for real time image synthesis. Springer Verlag, London, 2007.

Department of Mathematics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad,
Mashhad, Iran.

E-mail address: ghane@math.um.ac.ir

Department of Mathematics, Ilam University,
Ilam, Iran.

E-mail address: ali.sarizadeh@gmail.com